1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Michael R. Lozeau (Bar No. 142893) Richard Drury (Bar No. 163559) Douglas J. Chermak (Bar No. 233382) LOZEAU DRURY LLP 410 12th Street, Suite 250 Oakland, California 94607 Tel: (510) 836-4200 Fax: (510) 836-4205 E-mail: michael@lozeaudrury.com	
10	SUPERIOR COURT FO	R THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
12 13 14	CALIFORNIA SPORTFISHING PROTECTION ALLIANCE, et al., Petitioners,	Case No.: RG09474549  NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT
	v.	Hearing: None set. Time:
16	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION, et al.,	Action Filed: September 17, 2009 Dep't: 22 Hearing Judge: Hon. Frank Roesch
17 18	Respondents.	) )
19		, )
20	TO ALL PARTIES TO THIS ACTIO	N: Please take notice that on May 29, 2012 the Court
21 22	issued the Order attached hereto as Exhibit A –	Stipulated Judgment.
23	Dated: May 31, 2012	OZEAU DRURY LLP
24		1187
25	R:	Mulaul Control of the
26	M	lichael R. Lozeau hristina M. Caro
27	11	ttorneys for Petitioners
28		

# **EXHIBIT A**



Michael R. Lozeau (CA Bar No. 142893) Richard T. Drury (CA Bar No. 163559) 2 Douglas Chermak (CA Bar No. 233382) LOZEAU | DRURY LLP 3 410 12th Street, Suite 250 Oakland, CA 94607 Tel: 510-836-4200 Fax: 510-836-4205 5 E-mail: michael@lozeaudrury.com 6 richard@lozeaudrury.com doug@lozeaudrury.com 7 Attorneys for Petitioners CALIFORNIA 8 SPORTFISHING PROTECTION ALLIANCE and PUBLIC EMPLOYEES FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSIBILITY 10 SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 12 CALIFORNIA SPORTFISHING 13 PROTECTION ALLIANCE, a non-profit corporation; PUBLIC EMPLOYEES FOR 14 ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSIBILITY, a non-profit corporation, 15 Petitioners, 16 vs. 17 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PARKS 18 AND RECREATION, an agency of the State of California; DIVISION OF OFF-HIGHWAY 19 MOTOR VEHICLE RECREATION, a division of the California Department of Parks and 20 Recreation; RUTH COLEMAN, in her official capacity; DAPHNE GREEN, in her official 21 capacity; ROBERT WILLIAMSON, in his official capacity, 22 Respondents. 23 24 CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER **QUALITY CONTROL BOARD, CENTRAL** 25 VALLEY REGION, an agency of the State of California, 26 Real Party in Interest.

27

28

Case No.: RG09474549

STIPULATED JUDGMENT.

ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO JUDGE FRANK ROESCH DEPT. 24

25

26

27

28

WHEREAS, Petitioners California Sportfishing Protection Alliance and Public Employees For Environmental Responsibility ("Petitioners") filed an action ("Action") on or about September 17, 2009, in the Alameda County Superior Court, alleging violations of the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act ("Porter-Cologne"), Water Code § 13000, et seq. and the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation Act of 2003 ("OHMVR Act"), PRC §§ 5090.01-5090.70. In particular, Petitioners alleged that Respondents' California Department of Parks And Recreation, the Division of Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation, Ruth Coleman, Daphne Green, and Robert Williamson (collectively "Respondents") failed to submit a report of waste discharge ("RWD") pursuant to Water Code § 13260; failed to cease discharges pending the issuance of waste discharge requirements ("WDRs") by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region ("Regional Board" or "Real Party"); discharged of pollution in excess of water quality objectives established in the Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) for the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region – The Sacramento River Basin and The San Joaquin River Basin ("Basin Plan") and; failed to comply with various monitoring and operational requirements of the OHMVR Act; and

WHEREAS, Respondents filed opposition to the Action, including a demurrer and opposition to Petitioners' request for issuance of an alternative writ of mandate, urging, *inter alia*, that the primary jurisdiction doctrine required referral of the water quality and permit matters raised in the Action to the Regional Board, and that Petitioners had remedies in the ordinary course of law that made issuance of a writ improper, including the administrative remedy of filing an enforcement petition with the Regional Board and the State Water Resources Control Board ("State Board");

WHEREAS on December 8, 2009, the Superior Court issued an alternative writ of mandate ordering Respondents to comply with various provisions of the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act, Water Code § 13000 et seq.;

WHEREAS on December 20, 2009, Respondent California Department of Parks and Recreation submitted a report of waste discharge to the Regional Board;

WHEREAS, on December 28, 2009, Respondents petitioned the California Court of Appeal to issue a writ of mandate overturning the Superior Court's order;

WHEREAS on March 16, 2010, the Court of Appeal issued a writ of mandate vacating the trial court's order based on a ruling that Petitioners' failed to exhaust their administrative remedies before the Regional Board and State Board;

WHEREAS, in response to the Court of Appeal writ of mandate, the Superior Court dismissed Petitioners' First, Second and Third Causes of Action;

WHEREAS on March 19, 2010, Petitioners filed a request for the Regional Board to take enforcement action on the claims alleged in Petitioners' First, Second and Third Causes of Action;

WHEREAS the Regional Board did not acknowledge the request and, on May 24, 2010, CSPA and PEER filed a petition for review with the State Board;

WHEREAS on March 16, 2011, the State Board issued a notice that the petition for review was complete;

WHEREAS, 270-days passed after the State Board issued the notice of completion and the State Board did not take action on the petition; as a result, the petition for review was deemed denied;

WHEREAS, on 14 March 2011, the California Department of Parks And Recreation ("DPR") submitted a Storm Water Management Plan ("SWMP") and a Notice of Intent ("NOI") to comply with conditions of the General Permit for Storm Water Discharges from Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4) Permit ("Small MS4 Permit") issued by the State Board pursuant to Porter-Cologne and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1251 et seq.;

WHEREAS, on May 26, 2011, Petitioners submitted comments on the SWMP and the NOI;

WHEREAS, in August 2011, the SWMP was modified;

WHEREAS, in September 2011, the Regional Board suspended processing of Small MS4 Permits;

WHEREAS, pursuant to the stipulation of Petitioners and Respondents, the Court granted Petitioners' leave to file an amended petition for writ of mandate, re-alleging Petitioners' Second and Third Cause of Action from the original Complaint;

WHEREAS, on December 23, 2011, Petitioners filed the First Amended Petition for Writ of Mandate, which included the Regional Board as a Real Party in Interest in the Action;

WHEREAS on January 20, 2012, the Regional Board proposed issuing a Clean-up and Abatement Order addressing discharges at the Carnegie SVRA; on February 6, 2012, Petitioners submitted comments on the proposed CAO, and; on February 23, 2012 the Regional Board's Executive Director issued Clean-up and Abatement Order No. R5-2012-0700 ("CAO No. R5-2012-0700") to DPR;

WHEREAS, the CAO incorporates the August 2011 SWMP and adds several additional conditions relating to discharges at the Carnegie SVRA;

WHEREAS, since filing the First Amended Petition, the Petitioners and Respondents (collectively, "Parties"), as well as Real Party in Interest the Regional Board, have met to discuss the terms of a possible settlement of this Action;

WHEREAS, the Parties have agreed to terms that will resolve all claims in this Action;

WHEREAS, Petitioners and Real Party have set forth terms of settlement in a separate agreement the effectiveness of which is contingent on the Court's entry of this Stipulated Judgment;

WHEREAS, without any admission of liability, the Petitioners and Respondents (also referred to collectively as "the Parties") consent to the entry of this Stipulated Judgment to resolve all of the claims in this Action;

THEREFORE, THE PARTIES HEREBY AGREE AND IT IS ADJUDGED AND ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

 Upon issuance by the State Board of a renewed Small MS4 Permit, Respondents will submit to the Regional Board a Notice of Intent (NOI) to comply with the conditions of the permit, together with the current SWMP, which is the SWMP that was submitted to the

Regional Board in August 2011, to be modified as set forth below at Paragraph 2. Prior to the State Board's issuance of the renewed Small MS4 Permit, and Respondents' submittal of an NOI to comply with the conditions of the permit, CAO No. R5-2012-0700 will remain in effect.

- 2. Respondents agree to modify the current SWMP as follows:
  - a. Add the language set forth at Provision 3 of CAO No. R5-2012-0700 which provides as follows:

Receiving Water Limitations - State Parks shall not cause or contribute to an exceedance of the water quality objectives contained in the Basin Plan, a Statewide Water Quality Control Plan, or the California Toxics Rule (CTR). State Parks shall comply with the receiving water limitations through timely implementation of control measures/BMPs and other actions to reduce wastes in the discharges and other requirements of this Order including any modifications. The Storm Water Management Plan shall be designed to achieve compliance with the receiving water limitations. If exceedance(s) of water quality objectives persist notwithstanding implementation of other requirements of this Order, State Parks shall assure compliance with the receiving water limitations by complying with the following procedure:

- a. Upon a determination by either State Parks or the Executive Officer that State Parks' storm water discharges are causing or contributing to an exceedance of an applicable water quality objective, State Parks shall promptly notify and thereafter submit a report to the Executive Officer that describes BMPs that are currently being implemented and additional BMPs that will be implemented to prevent or reduce any wastes that are causing or contributing to the exceedance of water quality objectives. The report shall include an implementation schedule. The Executive Officer may require modifications to the report;
- b. Submit any modifications to the report required by the Executive Officer within 30 days of notification; and
- c. Implement the actions specified in the report in accordance with the approved schedule.
- d. So long as State Parks has complied with the procedure set forth above and is implementing the actions, State Parks does not have to repeat the same procedure for continuing or recurring exceedances of the same receiving water limitations unless directed by the Executive Officer to develop additional BMPs.
- b. In addition to the parameters currently called for under Section 6.8, BMP
   Monitoring in the SWMP, Respondents shall analyze all water quality samples taken at the
   BMP Monitoring Turbidity Locations for Total Suspended Solids during the FY 2012/2013

and FY 2013/2014 monitoring activities in order to attempt to establish a correlation between turbidity and Total Suspended Solids. Respondents shall also add lead and aluminum to the analysis of water quality samples taken as part of the Metals Assessment Plan provided for in the SWMP.

- Respondents agree that they will maintain a wet weather closure policy in the SWMP.
- 4. Respondents agree that on completion of the investigation of options and alternatives for the salt-based dust control BMP currently employed at the Carnegie SVRA and analysis of current application practices required to be provided to the Regional Board pursuant to Provision 7 of the SWMP, Respondents shall post the investigation and analysis results on its official website to provide the public an opportunity for review and comment of no less than 30-days. Respondents will provide notice to Petitioners of the posting.
- 5. Respondents shall either in the Annual Report to the Regional Board required by Section 7.1 of the SWMP or in its annual Habitat Monitoring System Report provide one or more legible maps depicting the following features: trails at the site, the tier for each trail, resource management areas ("RMA"), RMA gates, catchment basins and their drainage areas, and areas that could reasonably generate an illicit discharge to a receiving water. The maps shall be updated annually.
- 6. Within three (3) months of notice of entry of the Stipulated Judgment, Respondents shall post on its official web site the data obtained from the automated rain gauge currently installed at the Carnegie SVRA.
- 7. Attorney Fees and Costs: Respondents agree to pay to Petitioners the amount of \$65,000 in attorneys' fees and costs. Respondents shall provide payment of the fees and costs to Petitioners' counsel, in the form of a check payable to Lozeau/Drury LLP, no later than forty-five (45) days after notice of entry of this Stipulated Judgment.
- 8. Dismissal of action: Upon approval of this stipulation by Petitioners and Respondents and entry of judgment by the Court, and no later than fifteen (15) days following receipt by Petitioners of payment of attorneys fees and costs provided for in paragraph 7 of this Stipulated Judgment, Petitioners shall file a dismissal with prejudice of this action.

10. No Admission of Liability. This Stipulated Judgment is entered into in compromise of disputed claims, the existence of any liability for which is expressly denied. Petitioners and Respondents agree that this Stipulated Judgment shall not be deemed or construed for any purpose as an admission of liability or responsibility for or participation in any unlawful or wrongful act at any time by any Party hereto or any other person or entity. Petitioners and Respondents further agree that this Stipulated Judgment shall not be deemed or construed for any purpose as a limitation on Petitioners' right to review and comment upon any SWMP or amendments thereto prepared by Respondents or to limit Petitioners' participation in any proceeding before the Regional Board, State Board or any other public entity involving Respondents and the Carnegie SVRA.

#### 11. Notices.

- b. Whenever notice or a document is required to be sent to Petitioners, it shall be sent to: Michael Lozeau, Lozeau Drury LLP, 410 12th Street, Suite 250,
   Oakland, CA 94607, (or such other address as may be provided in writing by Petitioners to Respondents and Real Party);
- c. Whenever notice or a document is required to be sent to Respondents, it shall be sent to: Christiana Tiedemann, Office of the Attorney General, 1515 Clay St., 20th Floor, Oakland, CA 94612-1413 (or such other address as may be provided in writing by Respondents to Petitioners and the Regional Board);
- d. Whenever notice or a document is required to be sent to the Regional Board, it shall be sent to: Daniel S. Harris, Office of the Attorney General, 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000. San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 (or such other address as may be provided in writing by the Regional Board to Petitioners and Respondents).
- 12. Severability. In the event that any portion of this Stipulated Judgment is found to be illegal, invalid, unenforceable or otherwise without legal force or effect, the remainder of the Stipulated Judgment will remain in force and be fully binding.
- Entire Agreement. This Stipulated Judgment constitutes the entire agreement and understanding between the Petitioners and Respondents. All agreements or representations,

expressed or implied, of the Petitioners and Respondents with regard to this subject matter are contained in this Stipulated Judgment. Petitioners and Respondents acknowledge that there are no other warranties, promises, assurances or representations of any kind, express or implied, upon which Petitioners and Respondents have relied in entering into this Stipulated Judgment, unless expressly set forth herein. All prior representations, understandings and agreements between Petitioners and Respondents concerning settlement are superseded by this Stipulated Judgment. The terms of this Stipulated Judgment shall not be changed, revised or modified except by written agreement signed by the Parties to this Stipulated Judgment and shall not take effect until approved by the Court.

- 14. Acknowledgment of Terms. The Parties have read and understood the terms of this Stipulated Judgment, have had the opportunity to consult with counsel regarding those terms, and understand and acknowledge the significance and consequence of each such term.
- 15. Parties Affected. This Stipulated Judgment shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto, and their respective heirs, predecessors, successors, affiliated companies, subsidiaries, officers, directors, shareholders, partners, trustees, employees, assigns, executors, administrators, agents and attorneys, and all persons and/or entities connected with each of them, and the general public.
- 16. Warranty. Each Party warrants that (a) the person executing this Stipulated Judgment is fully authorized to do so and to enter into the terms and conditions hereof; and (b) the claims being released pursuant to this Stipulated Judgment have not been assigned or otherwise transferred to any other person or entity.
- 17. Construction. This Stipulated Judgment is the product of negotiation and preparation by and among each Party hereto and their respective attorneys. Accordingly, the Stipulated Judgment shall not be construed against the Party preparing it. The section headings are included for convenience only and are not intended to be operative as part of this Stipulated Judgment.
- 18. Execution of Documents. The Parties agree to execute this Stipulated Judgment and all such other documents as are reasonably necessary to effect the terms and conditions of

		:
1.	this Stipulated Judgment. T	he Stipulated Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of
2	which shall be considered an original.	
3	19. Retention of Jurisdiction. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of	
4	this Stipulated Judgment,	
5	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
6	Dated:	LOZEAU/DRURY LLP
7		
8		Michael R. Lozeau Attorney for Petitioners
9 10	Dated: 5/10/12_	KAMALA D. HARRIS, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11		Christiano (Cedeman
12		Christiana Tiedemann Supervising Deputy Attorney General
13	-	Attorney for Respondents
14	APPROVED AS TO SUBSTANCE:	
15	Dated: 5/24/12	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION, RUTH COLEMAN,
16		ROBERT WILLIAMSON AND DAPHNE GREENE
17		-k0=0/1
18		PHILTENKINS, Acting Deputy Director, DPR
19		DIVISION OF OFF-HIGHWAY MOTOR VEHICLE RECREATION
20		VEHICLE RECKEN AGIN
21	-	
22	Dated:	CALIFORNIA SPORTFISHING PROTECTION ALLIANCE
23	l diod.	
24		
25	Dated:	PUBLIC EMPLOYEES FOR ENVIRONMENTAL
26		RESPONSIBILITY
27		,
28		
		9
	STIPULATED JUDGMENT: CASE NO. RG09474549	

	11.		
1	this Stipulated Judgment. The Stipulated Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of		
2	which shall be considered an original.		
3	19. Retention of Jurisdiction. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of		
4.	this Stipulated Judgment.		
5	APPROVED AS TO FORM:		
6	Dated: 5/24/2012	LOZEAU/DRURY LLP	
7		Michael R Lozean	
8		Michael R. Lozeau Attorney for Petitioners	
9	Dated: 5/15/42		
10	Dated: 577 21742	KAMALA D. HARRIS, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11		Christiana Midelina Co.	
12		Christiana Tiedemann Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
13		Attorney for Respondents	
14	APPROVED AS TO SUBSTANCE:		
15	Dated:	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION, RUTH COLEMAN,	
16		ROBERT WILLIAMSON AND DAPHNE GREENE	
17			
18		ByPHIL JENKINS, Acting Deputy Director, DPR	
19		DIVISION OF OFF-HIGHWAY MOTOR VEHICLE RECREATION	
20			
21			
22	Dated:	CALIFORNIA SPORTFISHING PROTECTION ALLIANCE	
23	•		
24			
25	Dated:	PUBLIC EMPLOYEES FOR ENVIRONMENTAL	
26		RESPONSIBILITY	
27			
28		and the control of th	
- 1	STIPLIFATED HIDGMENT: CASE NO RG09474549		

1	this Stipulated Judgment. Ti	ne Stipulated Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of
2	which shall be considered an original.	
3	19. Retention of Jurisdiction. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of	
4	this Stipulated Judgment.	
5	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
6	Dated:	LOZEAU/DRURY LLP
7		
.8	. ,	Michael R. Lozeau Attorney for Petitioners
9	Dated: //c//2_	KAMALA D. HARRIS, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11		Christiana lademan
12		Christiana Tiedemann Supervising Deputy Attorney General
13		Attorney for Respondents
14	APPROVED AS TO SUBSTANCE:	
15	Dated: 5/24/12	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
16		PARKS AND RECREATION, RUTH COLEMAN, ROBERT WILLIAMSON AND DAPHNE GREENE
17		p. 10000
18		PHILTENKINS, Acting Deputy Director, DPR
19		DIVISION OF OFF-HIGHWAY MOTOR VEHICLE RECREATION
20		
22	4-110	
23	Dated: 5/25/12	CALIFORNIA SPORTSISHING PROTECTION ALLIANCE
24		RM (prince)
25 :		rice Juliusings
26	Dated:	PUBLIC EMPLOYEES FOR ENVIRONMENTAL, RESPONSIBILITY
27	,	
28		
	,	9
	STIPULAT	FED JUDGMENT: CASE NO. RG09474549

1	this Stipulated Judgment. The Stipulated Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of	
2	which shall be considered an original.	
3	19. Retention of Jurisdiction. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of	
. 4	this Stipulated Judgment.	
5	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
6	Dated:	LOZEAU/DRURY LLP
7		
8		Michael R. Lozeau Attorney for Petitioners
. 9	Dated: 1/10/12	KAMALA D. HARRIS, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE
10	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11		Christiana Tiedemann
12		Supervising Deputy Attorney General
13		Attorney for Respondents
14	APPROVED AS TO SUBSTANCE:	
15	Dated: 5/24/12	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION, RUTH COLEMAN,
16		ROBERT WILLIAMSON AND DAPHNE GREENE
. 17	-	1 1000
.18	-	By The NEINKINS, Acting Deputy Director, DPR
19		DIVISION OF OFE-HIGHWAY MOTOR
20		VEHICLE RECREATION
21		
22	Dated:	CALIFORNIA SPORTFISHING PROTECTION ALLIANCE
23	Dated.	CALLE CHARLES ON THE CALL OF T
24	Annual state of the state of th	
25	Dated:	PUBLIC EMPLOYEES FOR ENVIRONMENTAL
26	may 25,2012	RESPONSIBILITY
27	""	Karen Schamfrad
. 28		ruen syampar
- ;		, ,

STIPULATED JUDGMENT: CASE NO. RG09474549

## GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFORE:

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: 5/29/12

Hon. Frank Roesch Judge of the Superior Court

## PROOF OF SERVICE

П

**5** 

I, Toyer Grear, declare as follows:

I am a resident of the State of California, and employed in Oakland, California. I am over the age of 18 years and am not a party to the above-entitled action. My business address is 410 12th Street, Suite 250, Oakland, California, 94607. On May 31, 2012, I served a copy of the following documents:

### NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

- By placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Oakland, California addressed as set forth below.
- By transmitting via e-mail or electronic transmission the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the e-mail address(es) set forth below.

Daniel S. Harris Deputy Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Daniel.Harris@doj.ca.gov	Christiana Tiedemann Supervising Deputy Attorney General 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550 chris.tiedemann@doj.ca.gov
Alex P. Mayer Staff Counsel State Water Resources Control Board 1001 I Street, 22 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 amayer@waterboards.ca.gov	

I declare under penalty of perjury (under the laws of the State of California) that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed May 31, 2012 at Oakland, California.

Toyer Grear